

NEW RECURRENT INEQUALITY ON A CLASS OF VERTEX FOLKMAN NUMBERS

Nikolay Rangelov Kolev

Nedyalko Dimov Nenov

Let G be a graph and $V(G)$ be the vertex set of G . Let a_1, \dots, a_r be positive integers, $m = \sum_{i=1}^r (a_i - 1) + 1$ and $p = \max\{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$. The symbol $G \rightarrow \{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$ denotes that in every r -coloring of $V(G)$ there exists a monochromatic a_i -clique of color i for some $i = 1, \dots, r$. The vertex Folkman numbers $F(a_1, \dots, a_r; m - 1) = \min\{|V(G)| : G \rightarrow (a_1 \dots a_r) \text{ and } K_{m-1} \not\subseteq G\}$ are considered. In this paper we improve the known upper bounds on the numbers $F(2, 2, p; p + 1)$ and $F(3, p; p + 1)$.

1 Introduction

We consider only finite, non-oriented graphs without loops and multiple edges. We call a p -clique of the graph G a set of p vertices, each two of which are adjacent. The largest positive integer p , such that the graph G contains a p -clique is denoted by $cl(G)$. We denote by $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ the vertex set and the edge set of the graph G respectively. The symbol K_n denotes the complete graph on n vertices.

Let G_1 and G_2 be two graphs without common vertices. We denote by $G_1 + G_2$ the graph G for which $V(G) = V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$ and $E(G) = E(G_1) \cup E(G_2) \cup E'$, where $E' = \{[x, y] \mid x \in V(G_1), y \in V(G_2)\}$.

Definition. Let a_1, \dots, a_r be positive integers. We say that the r -coloring

$$V(G) = V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_r, \quad V_i \cap V_j = \emptyset, \quad i \neq j,$$

of the vertices of the graph G is (a_1, \dots, a_r) -free, if V_i does not contain an a_i -clique for each $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. The symbol $G \rightarrow (a_1, \dots, a_r)$ means that there is not an (a_1, \dots, a_r) -free coloring of the vertices of G .

We consider for arbitrary natural numbers a_1, \dots, a_r and q

$$H(a_1, \dots, a_r; q) = \{G : G \rightarrow (a_1, \dots, a_r) \text{ and } cl(G) < q\}.$$

The vertex Folkman numbers are defined by the equality

$$F(a_1, \dots, a_r; q) = \min\{|V(G)| : G \in H(a_1, \dots, a_r; q)\}.$$

Key words: r -coloring, Folkman numbers

2000 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 05C55

It is clear that $G \rightarrow (a_1, \dots, a_r)$ implies $cl(G) \geq \max\{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$. Folkman [1] proved that there exists a graph G such that $G \rightarrow (a_1, \dots, a_r)$ and $cl(G) = \max\{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$. Therefore

$$(1) \quad F(a_1, \dots, a_r; q) \text{ exists if and only if } q > \max\{a_1, \dots, a_r\}.$$

If a_1, \dots, a_r are positive integers, $r \geq 2$ and $a_i = 1$ then it is easy to see that

$$(2) \quad G \rightarrow (a_1, \dots, a_r) \Leftrightarrow G \rightarrow (a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_r).$$

It is also easy to see that for an arbitrary permutation $\varphi \in S_r$ we have

$$G \rightarrow (a_1, \dots, a_r) \Leftrightarrow G \rightarrow (a_{\varphi(1)}, \dots, a_{\varphi(r)}).$$

That is why

$$(3) \quad F(a_1, \dots, a_r; q) = F(a_{\varphi(1)}, \dots, a_{\varphi(r)}), \text{ for each } \varphi \in S_r$$

According to (2) and (3) it is enough to consider just such numbers $F(a_1, \dots, a_r; q)$ for which

$$(4) \quad 2 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_r.$$

For arbitrary positive integers a_1, \dots, a_r define:

$$(5) \quad p = p(a_1, \dots, a_r) = \max\{a_1, \dots, a_r\};$$

$$(6) \quad m = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^r (a_i - 1)$$

It is easy to see that $K_m \rightarrow (a_1, \dots, a_r)$ and $K_{m-1} \nrightarrow (a_1, \dots, a_r)$. Therefore

$$F(a_1, \dots, a_r; q) = m, \text{ if } q > m.$$

In [4] it was proved that $F(a_1, \dots, a_r; m) = m + p$, where m and p are defined by the equalities (5) and (6). About the numbers $F(a_1, \dots, a_r; m - 1)$ we know that $F(a_1, \dots, a_r; m - 1) \geq m + p + 2$, $p \geq 2$ and

$$(7) \quad F(a_1, \dots, a_r; m - 1) \leq m + 3p, [3].$$

The exact values of all numbers $F(a_1, \dots, a_r; m - 1)$ for which $\max\{a_1, \dots, a_r\} \leq 4$ are known. A detailed exposition of these results was given in [8]. We must add the equality $F(2, 2, 3; 4) = 14$ obtained in [2] to this exposition. We do not know any exact values of $F(a_1, \dots, a_r; m - 1)$ in the case when $\max\{a_1, \dots, a_r\} \geq 5$.

According to (1), $F(a_1, \dots, a_r; m - 1)$ exists exactly when $m \geq p + 2$. In this paper we shall improve inequality (7) in the boundary case when $m = p + 2$, $p \geq 5$. From the equality $m = p + 2$ and (4) it easily follows that there are two such numbers only: $F(2, 2, p; p + 1)$ and $F(3, p; p + 1)$. It is clear that from $G \rightarrow (3, p)$ it follows $G \rightarrow (2, 2, p)$. Therefore

$$(8) \quad F(2, 2, p; p + 1) \leq F(3, p; p + 1).$$

The inequality (7) gives us that:

$$(9) \quad F(3, p; p+1) \leq 4p+2;$$

$$(10) \quad F(2, 2, p; p+1) \leq 4p+2.$$

Our goal is to improve the inequalities (9) and (10). We shall need the following

Lemma. *Let G_1 and G_2 be two graphs such that*

$$(11) \quad G_1 \rightarrow (a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a'_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_r)$$

and

$$(12) \quad G_2 \rightarrow (a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a''_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_r).$$

Then

$$(13) \quad G_1 + G_2 \rightarrow (a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a'_i + a''_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_r).$$

Proof. Assume that (13) is wrong and let

$$V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_r, V_i \cap V_j = \emptyset, i \neq j$$

be a $(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a'_i + a''_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_r)$ -free r -coloring of $V(G_1 + G_2)$. Let $V'_i = V_i \cap V(G_1)$ and $V''_i = V_i \cap V(G_2)$, for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Then $V'_1 \cup \dots \cup V'_r$ is an r -coloring of $V(G_1)$, such that V_j does not contain an a_j -clique, $j \neq i$. Thus from (11) it follows that V'_i contains an a'_i -clique. Analogously from the r -colouring $V''_1 \cup \dots \cup V''_r$ of $V(G_2)$ it follows that V''_i contains an a''_i -clique. Therefore $V_i = V'_i \cup V''_i$ contains a $(a'_i + a''_i)$ -clique, which contradicts the assumption that $V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_r$ is a $(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a'_i + a''_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_r)$ -free r -coloring of $V(G_1 + G_2)$. This contradiction proves the Lemma. \square

2 Results

The main result in this paper is the following

Theorem. *Let $a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_r$, $r \geq 2$ be positive integers and $a_r = b_1 + \dots + b_s$, where b_i are positive integers, too and $b_i \geq a_{r-1}$, $i = 1, \dots, s$. Then*

$$(14) \quad F(a_1, \dots, a_r; a_r + 1) \leq \sum_{i=1}^s F(a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}, b_i; b_i + 1).$$

Proof. We shall prove the Theorem by induction on s . As the inductive step is trivial we shall just prove the inductive base $s = 2$. Let G_1 and G_2 be two graphs such that $cl(G_1) = b_1$ and $cl(G_2) = b_2$, $a_r = b_1 + b_2$, $b_1 \geq a_{r-1}$, $b_2 \geq a_{r-1}$ and

$$G_1 \rightarrow (a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}, b_1), |V(G_1)| = F(a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}, b_1; b_1 + 1)$$

$$G_2 \rightarrow (a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}, b_2), |V(G_2)| = F(a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}, b_2; b_2 + 1).$$

According to the Lemma, $G_1 + G_2 \rightarrow (a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}, a_r)$. As $cl(G_1 + G_2) = cl(G_1) + cl(G_2) = b_1 + b_2 = a_r$, we have

$$F(a_1, \dots, a_r; a_r + 1) \leq |V(G_1 + G_2)| = |V(G_1)| + |V(G_2)|.$$

From this inequality (14) trivially follows when $s = 2$ and hence, for arbitrary s , as explained above. The Theorem is proved. \square

We shall derive some corollaries from the Theorem. Let $p \geq 4$ and $p = 4k + l$, $0 \leq l \leq 3$. Then from (14) it easily follows that

$$(15) \quad F(3, p; p + 1) \leq (k - 1)F(3, 4; 5) + F(3, 4 + l; 5 + l)$$

$$(16) \quad F(2, 2, p; p + 1) \leq (k - 1)F(2, 2, 4; 5) + F(2, 2, 4 + l; 5 + l).$$

From (15), (9) ($p = 5, 6, 7$) and the equality $F(3, 4; 5) = 13$, [6] we obtain

Corollary 1. *Let $p \geq 4$. Then:*

$$\begin{aligned} F(3, p; p + 1) &\leq \frac{13p}{4} \quad \text{for } p \equiv 0 \pmod{4}; \\ F(3, p; p + 1) &\leq \frac{13p + 23}{4} \quad \text{for } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}; \\ F(3, p; p + 1) &\leq \frac{13p + 26}{4} \quad \text{for } p \equiv 2 \pmod{4}; \\ F(3, p; p + 1) &\leq \frac{13p + 29}{4} \quad \text{for } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{aligned}$$

From (16), the equality $F(2, 2, 4; 5) = 13$, [7], the inequality (10) ($p = 5$) and the inequalities $F(2, 2, 6; 7) \leq 22$, [9]; $F(2, 2, 7; 8) \leq 28$, [9] we obtain

Corollary 2. *Let $p \geq 4$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} F(2, 2, p; p + 1) &\leq \frac{13p}{4} \quad \text{for } p \equiv 0 \pmod{4}; \\ F(2, 2, p; p + 1) &\leq \frac{13p + 23}{4} \quad \text{for } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}; \\ F(2, 2, p; p + 1) &\leq \frac{13p + 10}{4} \quad \text{for } p \equiv 2 \pmod{4}; \\ F(2, 2, p; p + 1) &\leq \frac{13p + 21}{4} \quad \text{for } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{aligned}$$

We conjecture that the following inequalities hold:

$$(17) \quad F(3, p; p + 1) \leq \frac{13p}{4} \quad \text{for } p \geq 4;$$

$$(18) \quad F(2, 2, p; p + 1) \leq \frac{13p}{4} \quad \text{for } p \geq 4.$$

From the Theorem it follows that

$$(19) \quad F(3, p; p + 1) \leq F(3, p - 4; p - 3) + F(3, 4; 5), p \geq 8;$$

$$(20) \quad F(2, 2, p; p+1) \leq F(2, 2, p-4; p-3) + F(2, 2, 4; 5), p \geq 8.$$

From $F(3, 4; 5) = 13$ (see [6]) and (19) we obtain

Corollary 3. *If the inequality (17) holds for $p = 5, 6$ and 7 , then (17) is true for every $p \geq 4$.*

From $F(2, 2, 4; 5) = 13$, (see [7]) and from (20) it follows

Corollary 4. *If the inequality (18) holds for $p = 5, 6$ and 7 then (18) is true for every $p \geq 4$.*

At the end in regard with (8) we shall pose the following

Problem. *Is there a positive integer p , for which $F(2, 2, p; p+1) \neq F(3, p; p+1)$?*

References

- [1] J. Folkman. Graphs with monochromatic complete subgraphs in every edge coloring. SIAM J. Appl. Math. 18 (1970), 19-24.
- [2] J. Coles , S. Radjiszowski. Computing the vertex Folkman number $F_v(2, 2, 3; 4)$, submitted (see <http://www.cs.rit.edu/~spr/PUBL/paper50.pdf>.)
- [3] N. Kolev, N. Nenov New upper bound on a class of vertex Folkman numbers, submitted.
- [4] T. Luczak, A. Rucinski, S. Urbanski. On the minimal vertex Folkman graphs. Discrete mathematics, 236 (2001), 245-262.
- [5] N. Nenov. On a class of vertex Folkman graphs. Annuaire Univ. Sofia, Fac. Math. Inform. 94 (2000), 15-25.
- [6] N. Nenov. On the vertex Folkman number $F(3,4)$. C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci. 54, N:2 (2001), 23-26.
- [7] N. Nenov. On the 3-coloring vertex Folkman number $F(2, 2, 4)$. Serdica Math J. , 27 (2001) , 131-136.
- [8] N. Nenov. On a class of vertex Folkman numbers. Serdica math. J. , 28 (2002), 219-232.
- [9] N. Nenov. Computation of the vertex Folkman numbers $F(2, 2, 2, 3; 5)$ and $F(2, 3, 3; 5)$. Annuaire Univ. Sofia, Fac. Math. Inform. 95 (2001) , 17-27.
- [10] K. Piwakovski, S. Radziszowski. Computation of the Folkman number $F_e(3, 3; 5)$. J. Graph Theory, 32 (1999), 41-49.

N. Kolev
Department of Algebra,
Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics,
“St. Kl. Ohridski” University of Sofia,
5 J. Bourchier blvd, 1164 Sofia,
BULGARIA
`nickxy@mail.bg`

N. Nenov
Department of Algebra,
Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics,
“St. Kl. Ohridski” University of Sofia,
5 J. Bourchier blvd, 1164 Sofia,
BULGARIA
`nenov@fmi.uni-sofia.bg`